DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

	RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L. SHUTTLESWORTH,	*	
	Plaintiffs,	(
	VS.	*	
	JOHN PATTERSON, individually and as Governor of Alabama, EARL JAMES,) ;	Civil Action
10	individually and as Mayor of Montgomery, L. B. SULLIVAN, indi- vidually and as Commissioner of	*	File No.
	Public Safety of Montgomery, FRANK PARKS, individually and as Commis-	*	
1	sioner of Public Affairs of Montgomery		
1	MAC SIM BUTLER, individually and as Sheriff of Montgomery County, HOLT A.)	
1	McDOWELL, individually and as Sheriff of Jefferson County, and WILMER	*	
1	SHIELDS, individually and as Sheriff of Marengo County,	(
		*	
	Defendants.)	

MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Come the Plaintiffs, RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L. SHUTTLESWORTH, and move this Honorable Court for a preliminary injunction in the above entitled cause enjoining the defendants, JOHN PATTERSON, et. al., their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and all persons acting in concert with them from:

- (a.) Proceeding with trials presently pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama and which were instituted by the defendants, John Patterson and Frank Parks.
- (b.) Levying upon plaintiffs' property, both real and personal which has already been attached, as well as all future levies.
- (c.) Engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.

Grounds in support of said Motion are as follows: 1. Plaintiff, Ralph D. Abernathy is a resident of Montgomery County, Alabama and president of the Montgomery Improvement Association, an organization functioning in the City of Montgomery devoted to the achievement of equality of treatment for members of the Negro race under the Constitution of the United States and through the Christian and non-violent approach. 2. Plaintiff, J. E. Lowery, is a resident of the City of Mobile, Alabama, and president of the Alabama Civic Affairs Association, an organization functioning in Mobile, Alabama devoted to similar aims as those described above. 3. Plaintiff, S. S. Seay, Sr., is a resident of Montgomery County, Alabama, and is executive secretary of the Montgomery Improvement Association. 4. Plaintiff, Fred L. Shuttlesworth, is a resident of Birmingham, Alabama, and is founder and president of the Alabama Christian Movement for Human Rights, an organization located in Birmingham, Alabama similar in purpose to those described above. 5. Each of the plaintiffs herein is over the age of twenty-one years, and a citizen of the United States. Each belongs to the class of persons commonly designated and referred to as Negroes. 6. Defendant John Patterson is governor of the State of Alabama. Said defendant has filed an action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama seeking damages against the plaintiffs and the New York Times Company in the amount of One Million Dollars. 7. Defendants, L. B. Sullivan, Earl D. James, and Frank W. Parks are City officials and members of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Montgomery, Alabama. Each filed in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama a Civil Action for damages against the plaintiffs herein and the New York Times Company in the amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars. 8. Defendants, Mac Sim Butler, Ray D. Bridges, Holt A. McDowell, and Wilmer Shield are respectively sheriffs of Montgomery, Mobile, Jefferson, and Marengo counties. As such it is their duty to execute and return the process and orders of the Courts of record of the State of Alabama. 9. In pursuance of their objective to advance the equality of treatment of members of the Negro race through Christian and non-violent Constitu-

- 2 -

tional means, plaintiffs, their supporters, and others with whom they have been associated sought to rely on the utilization of educational processes, the various media of press and speech, the right to assembly, and the right to petition for redress of grievances and the peaceful assertion of constitutional rights, which rights are privileges flowing from national citizenship.

- of the defendants and divers other co-conspirators, the names of whom are to the plaintiffs presently unknown, entered into a conspiracy, individually and under the authority of their offices, to prevent the plaintiffs from accomplishing their objectives as aforesaid; to deprive plaintiffs of the equal protection of the laws; to deprive them of their rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States and to deprive the plaintiffs of their rights to access to a free press, free speech, and peaceful assembly, as well as the right to petition for redress of grievances guaranteed to them under the first Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, as incorporated in the Fourteenth Amendment thereof.
- 11. On or about March 29, 1960, supporters of the plaintiff and the movement for equality of which they are leaders, on their own, and pursuant to their own responsibility, utilizing the channel of a free press, inserted in the New York Times, a newspaper of national and international reputation, a paid advertisement signed by approximately 64 prominent American from all walks of life, a copy of which advertisement is annexed to the Complaint heretofore filed herein as Exhibit B. This advertisement expressed the opinions, criticisms and comments of these prominant Americans of the developments in the City of Montgomery and the State of Alabama, as described above, for the purpose of:
- (a.) Educating the general public on important social and political issues in the free market place of ideas;
- (b.) Soliciting support for the defense of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., a leader of the movement in which the plaintiffs participate as scrive leaders, in a then pending criminal prosecution brought by the State of Alabama against the Reverend Dr. King;
- (c.) Soliciting support for the plaintiffs herein and their supporters in their peaceful activities for the enforcement of their right to vote, as guaranteed by the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

- (d.) Soliciting support for the Negro students of the City of Montgomery, the Negro citizens of that city, and the plaintiffs herein, in their peaceful efforts to end segregation and achieve the constitutional promise of equality before the law.
- 12. Pursuant to the aforesaid conspiracy, defendants contrived and planned, under the color of law and utilizing their official positions as well as the judicial machinery of the State, actions in libel against the New York Times Company, a foreign corporation and the plaintiffs herein.
- 13. Plaintiffs were fraudulently joined in said actions for the purpose of deterring them and their supporters as set forth above, from utilizing their constitutional rights and in particular their right to access to a free press, and for the purpose of preventing removal of said causes to the United States District Court from the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, a tribunal wherein a policy, custom, and usage of enforced segregation of the races is enforced and pursued. Joinder of the plaintiffs herein in the afore mentioned actions in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, therefore constitutes and unconstitutional use of the judicial machinery of the State of Alabama to the detriment and irreparable injury of the plaintiffs, in depriving them of rights, priviledges, and immunties secured by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution and, Title 42, United States Code, Sec. 1983, 1985 (3).
- 14. As a result of said fraudulent actions, fraudulent judgments, without any basis in law or fact, have been awarded to the defendants Sullivan and James in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000) each, and which judgments represent the highest ever awarded in libel actions in the State of Alabama. Because of said fraudulent and extraordinarily high judgments, plaintiffs are required under Alabama Law to post supersedeas bonds in the amount of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000) each, thereby making it absolutely impossible for the plaintiffs to avail themselves of the rights usually afforded to other citizens under the Alabama statute to obtain a stay of execution pending their exercise of the statutory right to appeal.
- 15. Plaintiffs are unable financially to post supersedeas bonds in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000), in order to stay execution pending appeals in the two cases which have been tried. By virtue of not be-

ing able to post the bonds required, the plaintiffs have been subjected to continuous harassments with respect to the modest personal and real property in which several have a mere equity. Plaintiffs are in addition thereto subjected to preparation for further trials in the Parks and Patterson cases, which are still pending in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama.

- 16. Unless defendants are enjoined from executions, garnishments, and sale of plaintiffs' properties pending outcome of said appeals; and unless defendants are enjoined from further prosecution of trials pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama as aforesaid, plaintiffs, and each of them, will suffer immediate and irreparable loss of property both real and personal, in addition to the immediate and irreparable injury to the exercise of constitutionally protected rights; as more fully appears in the verified complaint filed herein; which complaint is incorporated herein by reference and made a part of the Motion as if herein set forth in full.
- 17. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate and complete remedy at law which can protect plaintiffs' property rights as well as their rights to freedom of expression, of press and assembly other than recourse to this Honorable Court.
- 18. Unless this court grants plaintiffs the relief prayed for plaintiffs' will be further relegated to a segregated court system and continue to suffer immediate and irrepable harm to their personal and property rights. Plaintiffs have not and cannot, under the present segregated organization of the Alabama State Courts receive a fair and impartial trial. Recourse to such a system would afford plaintiffs no adequate remedy at law, consistent with the guarantees of the Federal Constitution.
- 19. The granting of a preliminary injunction by this Honorable Court, though essential to the protection of plaintiffs' personal and property rights will in no way be detrimental to defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray:

- 1. That this Learned Court enter a preliminary injunction:
- (a.) Enjoining the defendants herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from proceeding in any manner whatsoever with the libel action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery

County, Alabama, entitled, "Frank Parks vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al.", and John Patterson vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al., as the above actions relate to the plaintiffs herein.

-- 4 ··

- (b.) Restraining each of the defendants named herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.
- 2. That this Learned Court enter a declaratory judgment declaring the judgments heretofore awarded to defendants L. B. Sullivan and Earl James in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, to be null and void.

Respectfully submitted:

Charles S. Conley 530 South Union Street, Suite A Montgomery 4, Alabama

Vernon Z. Crawford 570 Davis Avenue Mobile, Alabama

Solomon S. Seay, Jr. 29 North McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama

Attorneys for Defendants

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L.)	
SHUTTLESWORTH,	*	
Plaintiffs,	(
VS.	*	
JOHN PATTERSON, individually and as Governor of Alabama, EARL JAMES,)	Civil Action
individually and as Mayor of Montgomery, L. B. SULLIVAN, indi-	*	File No.
vidually and as Commissioner of Public Safety of Montgomery, FRANK	(
PARKS, individually and as Commis- sioner of Public Affairs of Montgomen	*	
MAC SIM BUTLER, individually and as Sheriff of Montgomery County, HOLT A	")	
McDOWELL, individually and as Sherift of Jefferson County, and WILMER	ŗ*	
SHIELDS, individually and as Sheriff	(
of Marengo County,	*	
Defendants.		
·)	

MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Come the Plaintiffs, RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L. SHUTTLESWORTH, and move this Honorable Court for a preliminary injunction in the above entitled cause enjoining the defendants, JOHN PATTERSON, et. al., their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and all persons acting in concert with them from:

- (a.) Proceeding with trials presently pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama and which were instituted by the defendants, John Patterson and Frank Parks.
- (b.) Levying upon plaintiffs' property, both real and personal which has already been attached, as well as all future levies.
- (c.) Engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.

Grounds in support of said Motion are as follows: 1. Plaintiff, Ralph D. Abernathy is a resident of Montgomery County. Alabama and president of the Montgomery Improvement Association, an organization functioning in the City of Montgomery devoted to the achievement of equality of treatment for members of the Negro race under the Constitution of the United States and through the Christian and non-violent approach. 2. Plaintiff, J. E. Lowery, is a resident of the City of Mobile, Alabama, and president of the Alabama Civic Affairs Association, an organization functioning in Mobile, Alabama devoted to similar aims as those described above. 3. Plaintiff, S. S. Seay, Sr., is a resident of Montgomery County, Alabama, and is executive secretary of the Montgomery Improvement Association. 4. Plaintiff, Fred L. Shuttlesworth, is a resident of Birmingham, Alabama, and is founder and president of the Alabama Christian Movement for Human Rights, an organization located in Birmingham, Alabama similar in purpose to those described above. 5. Each of the plaintiffs herein is over the age of twenty-one years, and a citizen of the United States. Each belongs to the class of persons commonly designated and referred to as Negroes. 6. Defendant John Patterson is governor of the State of Alabama. Said defendant has filed an action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama seeking damages against the plaintiffs and the New York Times Company in the amount of One Million Dollars. 7. Defendants, L. B. Sullivan, Earl D. James, and Frank W. Parks are City officials and members of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Montgomery, Alabama. Each filed in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama a Civil Action for damages against the plaintiffs herein and the New York Times Company in the amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars. 8. Defendants, Mac Sim Butler, Ray D. Bridges, Holt A. McDowell, and Wilmer Shield are respectively sheriffs of Montgomery, Mobile, Jefferson, and Marengo counties. As such it is their duty to execute and return the process and orders of the Courts of record of the State of Alabama. 9. In pursuance of their objective to advance the equality of treatment of members of the Negro race through Christian and non-violent Constitu-- 2 -

tional means, plaintiffs, their supporters, and others with whom they have been associated sought to rely on the utilization of educational processes, the various media of press and speech, the right to assembly, and the right to petition for redress of grievances and the peaceful assertion of constitutional rights, which rights are privileges flowing from national citizenship.

10. In or about February, 1960, and continuously thereafter, several of the defendants and divers other co-conspirators, the names of whom are to the plaintiffs presently unknown, entered into a conspiracy, individually and under

- of the defendants and divers other co-conspirators, the names of whom are to the plaintiffs presently unknown, entered into a conspiracy, individually and under the authority of their offices, to prevent the plaintiffs from accomplishing their objectives as aforesaid; to deprive plaintiffs of the equal protection of the laws; to deprive them of their rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States and to deprive the plaintiffs of their rights to access to a free press, free speech, and peaceful assembly, as well as the right to petition for redress of grievances guaranteed to them under the first Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, as incorporated in the Fourteenth Amendment thereof.
- 11. On or about March 29, 1960, supporters of the plaintiff and the movement for equality of which they are leaders, on their own, and pursuant to their own responsibility, utilizing the channel of a free press, inserted in the New York Times, a newspaper of national and international reputation, a paid advertisement signed by approximately 64 prominent American from all walks of life, a copy of which advertisement is annexed to the Complaint heretofore filed herein as Exhibit B. This advertisement expressed the opinions, criticisms and comments of these prominant Americans of the developments in the City of Montgomery and the State of Alabama, as described above, for the purpose of:
- (a.) Educating the general public on important social and political issues in the free market place of ideas;
- (b.) Soliciting support for the defense of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., a leader of the movement in which the plaintiffs participate as active leaders, in a then pending criminal prosecution brought by the State of Alabama against the Reverend Dr. King;
- (c.) Soliciting support for the plaintiffs herein and their supporters in their peaceful activities for the enforcement of their right to vote, as guaranteed by the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

(d.) Soliciting support for the Negro students of the City of Montgomery, the Negro citizens of that city, and the plaintiffs herein, in their peaceful efforts to end segregation and achieve the constitutional promise of equality before the law. 12. Pursuant to the aforesaid conspiracy, defendants contrived and planned, under the color of law and utilizing their official positions as well as the judicial machinery of the State, actions in libel against the New York Times Company, a foreign corporation and the plaintiffs herein. 13. Plaintiffs were fraudulently joined in said actions for the purpose of deterring them and their supporters as set forth above, from utilizing their constitutional rights and in particular their right to access to a free press, and for the purpose of preventing removal of said causes to the United States District Court from the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, a tribunal wherein a policy, custom, and usage of enforced segregation of the races is enforced and pursued. Joinder of the plaintiffs herein in the afore mentioned actions in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, therefore constitutes and unconstitutional use of the judicial machinery of the State of Alabama to the detriment and irreparable injury of the plaintiffs, in depriving them of rights, priviledges, and immunties secured by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution and, Title 42, United States Code, Sec. 1983, 1985 (3). 14. As a result of said fraudulent actions, fraudulent judgments, without any basis in law or fact, have been awarded to the defendants Sullivan and James in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000) each, and which judgments represent the highest ever awarded in libel actions in the State of Alabama. Because of said fraudulent and extraordinarily high judgments. plaintiffs are required under Alabama Law to post supersedeas bonds in the amount of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000) each, thereby making it absolutely impossible for the plaintiffs to avail themselves of the rights usually afforded to other citizens under the Alabama statute to obtain a stay of execution pending their exercise of the statutory right to appeal. 15. Plaintiffs are unable financially to post supersedeas bonds in the amount of TWO LILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000), in order to stay execution pending appeals in the two cases which have been tried. By virtue of not be-- 4 -

ing able to post the bonds required, the plaintiffs have been subjected to continuous harassments with respect to the modest personal and real property in which several have a mere equity. Plaintiffs are in addition thereto subjected to preparation for further trials in the Parks and Patterson cases, which are still pending in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama. 16. Unless defendants are enjoined from executions, garnishments, and sale of plaintiffs' properties pending outcome of said appeals; and unless defendants are enjoined from further prosecution of trials pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama as aforesaid, plaintiffs, and each of them, will suffer immediate and irreparable loss of property both real and personal, in addition to the immediate and irreparable injury to the exercise of constitutionally protected rights; as more fully appears in the verified complaint filed herein; which complaint is incorporated herein by reference and made a part of the Motion as if herein set forth in full. 17. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate and complete remedy at law which can protect plaintiffs' property rights as well as their rights to freedom of expression, of press and assembly, other than recourse to this Honorable Court. 18. Unless this court grants plaintiffs the relief prayed for plaintiffs' will be further relegated to a segregated court system and continue to suffer immediate and irrepable harm to their personal and property rights. Plaintiffs have not and cannot, under the present segregated organization of the Alabama State Courts receive a fair and impartial trial. Recourse to such a system would afford plaintiffs no adequate remedy at law, consistent with the guarantees of the Federal Constitution. The granting of a preliminary injunction by this Honorable Court, though essential to the protection of plaintiffs' personal and property rights will in no way be detrimental to defendants. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray: 1. That this Learned Court enter a preliminary injunction: (a.) Enjoining the defendants herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from proceeding in any manner whatsoever with the libel action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery - 5 -

County, Alabama, entitled, "Frank Parks vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al.", and John Patterson vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al., as the above actions relate to the plaintiffs herein.

(b.) Restraining each of the defendants named herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from

servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.

2. That this Learned Court enter a declaratory judgment declaring the judgments heretofore awarded to defendants L. B. Sullivan and Earl James in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, to be null and void.

Respectfully submitted:

Charles S. Conley 530 South Union Street, Suite A Montgomery 4, Alabama

Vernon Z. Crawford 570 Davis Avenue Mobile, Alabama

Solomon S. Seay, Jr. 29 North McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama

Attorneys for Defendants

Ву

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L.)	
SHUTTLESWORTH,	*	
Plaintiffs,	(
Vs.	*	
JOHN PATTERSON, individually and as Governor of Alabama, EARL JAMES,)	Civil Action
individually and as Mayor of Montgomery, L. B. SULLIVAN, indi-	*	File No.
vidually and as Commissioner of Public Safety of Montgomery, FRANK	(
PARKS, individually and as Commissioner of Public Affairs of Montgome:	* rv	
MAC SIM BUTLER, individually and as Sheriff of Montgomery County, HOLT A	")	
McDOWELL, individually and as Sherift of Jefferson County, and WILMER	f *	
SHIELDS, individually and as Sheriff of Marengo County,	(
or marengo country,	*	
Defendants.	•	
)	

MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Come the Plaintiffs, RALPH D. ABERNATHY, J. E. LOWERY, S. S. SEAY, SR., and FRED L. SHUTTLESWORTH, and move this Honorable Court for a preliminary injunction in the above entitled cause enjoining the defendants, JOHN PATTERSON, et. al., their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and all persons acting in concert with them from:

- (a.) Proceeding with trials presently pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama and which were instituted by the defendants, John Patterson and Frank Parks.
- (b.) Levying upon plaintiffs' property, both real and personal which has already been attached, as well as all future levies.
- (c.) Engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.

Grounds in support of said Motion are as follows: 1. Plaintiff, Ralph D. Abernathy is a resident of Montgomery County. Alabama and president of the Montgomery Improvement Association, an organization functioning in the City of Montgomery devoted to the achievement of equality of treatment for members of the Negro race under the Constitution of the United States and through the Christian and non-violent approach. 2. Plaintiff, J. E. Lowery, is a resident of the City of Mobile, Alabama, and president of the Alabama Civic Affairs Association, an organization, functioning in Mobile, Alabama devoted to similar aims as those described above. 3. Plaintiff, S. S. Seay, Sr., is a resident of Montgomery County, Alabama, and is executive secretary of the Montgomery Improvement Association. 4. Plaintiff, Fred L. Shuttlesworth, is a resident of Birmingham, Alabama, and is founder and president of the Alabama Christian Movement for Human Rights, an organization located in Birmingham, Alabama similar in purpose to those described above. 5. Each of the plaintiffs herein is over the age of twenty-one years, and a citizen of the United States. Each belongs to the class of persons commonly designated and referred to as Negroes. 6. Defendant John Patterson is governor of the State of Alabama. Said defendant has filed an action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama seeking damages against the plaintiffs and the New York Times Company in the amount of One Million Dollars. 7. Defendants, L. B. Sullivan, Earl D. James, and Frank W. Parks are City officials and members of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Montgomery, Alabama. Each filed in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama a Civil Action for damages against the plaintiffs herein and the New York Times Company in the amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars. 8. Defendants, Mac Sim Butler, Ray D. Bridges, Holt A. McDowell, and Wilmer Shield are respectively sheriffs of Montgomery, Mobile, Jefferson, and Marengo counties. As such it is their duty to execute and return the process and orders of the Courts of record of the State of Alabama. 9. In pursuance of their objective to advance the equality of treatment of members of the Negro race through Christian and non-violent Constitu-- 2 -

tional means, plaintiffs, their supporters, and others with whom they have been associated sought to rely on the utilization of educational processes, the various media of press and speech, the right to assembly, and the right to petition for redress of grievances and the peaceful assertion of constitutional rights, which rights are privileges flowing from national citizenship.

- 10. In or about February, 1960, and continuously thereafter, several of the defendants and divers other co-conspirators, the names of whom are to the plaintiffs presently unknown, entered into a conspiracy, individually and under the authority of their offices, to prevent the plaintiffs from accomplishing their objectives as aforesaid; to deprive plaintiffs of the equal protection of the laws; to deprive them of their rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States and to deprive the plaintiffs of their rights to access to a free press, free speech, and peaceful assembly, as well as the right to petition for redress of grievances guaranteed to them under the first Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, as incorporated in the Fourteenth Amendment thereof.
- 11. On or about March 29, 1960, supporters of the plaintiff and the movement for equality of which they are leaders, on their own, and pursuant to their own responsibility, utilizing the channel of a free press, inserted in the New York Times, a newspaper of national and international reputation, a paid advertisement signed by approximately 64 prominent American from all walks of life, a copy of which advertisement is annexed to the Complaint heretofore filed herein as Exhibit B. This advertisement expressed the opinions, criticisms and comments of these prominant Americans of the developments in the City of Montgomery and the State of Alabama, as described above, for the purpose of:
- (a.) Educating the general public on important social and political issues in the free market place of ideas;
- (b.) Soliciting support for the defense of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., a leader of the movement in which the plaintiffs participate as scuive leaders, in a then pending criminal prosecution brought by the State of Alabama against the Reverend Dr. King;
- (c.) Soliciting support for the plaintiffs herein and their supporters in their peaceful activities for the enforcement of their right to vote, as guaranteed by the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

(d.) Soliciting support for the Negro students of the City of Montgomery, the Negro citizens of that city, and the plaintiffs herein, in their peaceful efforts to end segregation and achieve the constitutional promise of equality before the law. 12. Pursuant to the aforesaid conspiracy, defendants contrived and planned, under the color of law and utilizing their official positions as well as the judicial machinery of the State, actions in libel against the New York Times Company, a foreign corporation and the plaintiffs herein. 13. Plaintiffs were fraudulently joined in said actions for the purpose of deterring them and their supporters as set forth above, from utilizing their constitutional rights and in particular their right to access to a free press, and for the purpose of preventing removal of said causes to the United States District Court from the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, a tribunal wherein a policy, custom, and usage of enforced segregation of the races is enforced and pursued. Joinder of the plaintiffs herein in the afore mentioned actions in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, therefore constitutes and unconstitutional use of the judicial machinery of the State of Alabama to the detriment and irreparable injury of the plaintiffs, in depriving them of rights, priviledges, and immunties secured by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution and, Title 42, United States Code, Sec. 1983, 1985 (3). 14. As a result of said fraudulent actions, fraudulent judgments, without any basis in law or fact, have been awarded to the defendants Sullivan and James in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000) each, and which judgments represent the highest ever awarded in libel actions in the State of Alabama. Because of said fraudulent and extraordinarily high judgments, plaintiffs are required under Alabama Law to post supersedeas bonds in the

15. Plaintiffs are unable financially to post supersedeas bonds in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000), in order to stay execution pending appeals in the two cases which have been tried. By virtue of not be-

amount of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000) each, thereby making it absolutely impossible for the plaintiffs to avail themselves of the rights usually afforded to other citizens under the Alabama statute to obtain a stay of execution pending their exercise of the statutory right to appeal.

ing able to post the bonds required, the plaintiffs have been subjected to continuous harassments with respect to the modest personal and real property in which several have a mere equity. Plaintiffs are in addition thereto subjected to preparation for further trials in the Parks and Patterson cases, which are still pending in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama. 16. Unless defendants are enjoined from executions, garnishments, and sale of plaintiffs' properties pending outcome of said appeals; and unless defendantsare enjoined from further prosecution of trials pending before the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama as aforesaid, plaintiffs, and each of them, will suffer immediate and irreparable loss of property both real and personal, in addition to the immediate and irreparable injury to the exercise of constitutionally protected rights; as more fully appears in the verified complaint filed herein; which complaint is incorporated herein by reference and made a part of the Motion as if herein set forth in full. 17. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate and complete remedy at law which can protect plaintiffs' property rights as well as their rights to freedom of expression, of press and assembly, other than recourse to this Honorable Court. 18. Unless this court grants plaintiffs the relief prayed for plaintiffs' will be further relegated to a segregated court system and continue to suffer immediate and irrepable harm to their personal and property rights. Plaintiffs have not and cannot, under the present segregated organization of the Alabama State Courts receive a fair and impartial trial. Recourse to such a system would afford plaintiffs no adequate remedy at law, consistent with the guarantees of the Federal Constitution. 19. The granting of a preliminary injunction by this Honorable Court, though essential to the protection of plaintiffs' personal and property rights will in no way be detrimental to defendants. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray: 1. That this Learned Court enter a preliminary injunction: (a.) Enjoining the defendants herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from proceeding in any manner whatsoever with the libel action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery - 5 -

County, Alabama, entitled, "Frank Parks vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al.", and John Patterson vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, et. al., as the above actions relate to the plaintiffs herein.

- (b.) Restraining each of the defendants named herein, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in a conspiracy designed to deter and prohibit the plaintiffs from exercising rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments with respect to freedom of speech, press, assembly, and right to petition for redress of grievances, and the right to free worship.
- 2. That this Learned Court enter a declaratory judgment declaring the judgments heretofore awarded to defendants L. B. Sullivan and Earl James in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama, to be null and void.

Respectfully submitted:

Charles S. Conley 530 South Union Street, Suite A Montgomery 4, Alabama

Vernon Z. Crawford 570 Davis Avenue Mobile, Alabama

Solomon S. Seay, Jr. 29 North McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama

Attorneys for Defendants

•

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

RALPH D. ABERNATHY, et al.	.,)	
Plaintiffs,	:	
VS.)	Civil Action,
JOHN PATTERSON, et al.,	:	File No.
Defendants)	
	LAWYERS'	AFFIDAVIT
STATE OF ALABAMA) ONTGOMERY COUNTY)		

CHARLES S. CONLEY, VERNON Z. CRAWFORD, SOLOMON S. SEAY, JR., being duly sworn depose and say:

- 1. That they are attorneys and solicitors of the State of Alabama and attorneys for the plaintiffs herein.
- 2. On Monday, January 30, 1961, a motion to desegregate the courtroom during the course of the trial and duly made in chambers before the Honorable Walter B. Jones, Presiding Judge of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Montgomery, County, Alabama.
- 3. In the action which was being tried, Earl D. James vs. The New York Times Company, a corporation, Ra.ph D. Abernathy, Fred L. Shuttlesworth, S. S. Seay, Sr., and J. E. Lowery, all of the individual defendants are members of the Negro race.
- 4. The Negro defendants, each of whom is a leader in the struggle of the Negro people to acquire better educational, economic and political opportunities for Negro Americans comparable and equal to those of the majority segrent of the American people, gave as grounds for their motion to desegregate the courtroom the fact that Negroes were subjected to enforced racial segregation; that pursuant to established custom, practice and usage, the courtroom wherein the said cause was tried was segregated, pursuant to the direction of

officers of the Court; that to require the Negro defendants to submit to trial before said racially segregated tribunal deprives the Negro defendants of the due process of the laws and equal protection of the laws which are guaranteed by the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

- 5. An offer of proof of the allegation with reference to enforced segregation was made by attorneys for the Negro defendants. The presiding judge declined the offer and stated that there was no such requirement and himself sent for the Clerk of the Circuit Court who testified thereafter in chambers t at there were no such rules or regulations requiring segregation.
- 6. On Tuesday, January 31, 1961 (the second day of the trial), Negro spectators were permitted to sit wherever space was available without restriction.
- 7. But on Wednesday, February 1, 1961 (the third day of the trial), extra county officers were on duty and, pursuant to orders, required all Negroes to sit on the left side of the courtroom. See Exhibits #1 and #2, for sworn statements of persons who, among others, were asked to move after taking seats on the right side of the courtroom reserved for white persons.
- E. When the presiding judge entered the courtroom, the affiants asked to be heard out of the presence of the jury in the judge's chambers. When affiants were informed by the court that any motion which they wished to make would be heard in open court, a motion was made, partially in the presence of the jury, for a mistrial.
- 9. When the jury was excused and sent to the jury room after having heard the reasons why counsels wished to be heard in chambers, the grounds stated, among others, was the fact that