POSITION TYPE

SUPERVISORY

NONSUPERVISORY

EMPINYEE PERFORMANCE DATING

RATING PERIOD FROM April 1978 TO March 1979

|  | ORMANCE KATING  |                            |              |                          |                      | · /         |     |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----|
| NAME OF EMPLOYEE   | erse before completing form   | *** - *** · ***            |              |                          | Third card           | ,           |     |
| HUDSON, James W.   | Chief Deputy U  | S Me                       | . wah        | . 1                      | TURADI               | 11          |     |
| ORGANIZATION (Service, division, branch, etc.)   | Office Departy of   |                            |              |                          |                      | 11          |     |
| U.S. Marshals Service, Middle District of Alabama  |   | STATION ontgomery, Alabama |              |                          |                      |             |     |
| EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE RATING (Pie   | nce "X" in appropriate response colu                                    | mn)                        |              |                          | -                    |             |     |
| DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING  Rate all employees on the first four factors. Rate supervisors on all six factors.  |   |                            | SATISFACTORY |                          |                      | Ţ           |     |
| INADEQUATE-Performance falls so short of requirements that corrective action is urgently needed.   |   |                            |              | ENTS                     |                      |             |     |
| MARGINAL - Performance is barely satisfactory.  FULLY MEETS REQUIREMENTS - Performance has been as expected of any average employee in the same position.            |   |                            |              | QUIREM                   | EMENTS               |             |     |
| EXCEEDS REQUIREMENTS-Performance has been better than could reasonably be expected.  |   | IE                         |              | FULLY MEETS REQUIREMENTS | EXCEEDS REQUIREMENTS | EXCEPTIONAL |     |
| EXCEPTIONAL - Performance has been so much better than could reasonably be required that it deserves special commendation.   |   | NADEQUATE                  | MARGINAL     |                          |                      |             |     |
| . QUANTITY - The amount of satisfactory work completed by the employee or by the group that the employee supervises.   |   | -                          | -            |                          | w                    | X           |     |
| . QUALITY - The excellence of finished work of the employee or the group the employee supervises, regardless of the amount completed.                                |   |                            |              |                          |                      | X           |     |
| COOPERATIVENESS - Teamwork, willing acceptance of authorized orders or instructions and willing assistance to coworkers in the interest of meeting group objectives. |   |                            |              |                          |                      | X           |     |
| DEPENDABILITY - Availability when needed; punctuality; ability to work effectively without close supervision.  |   |                            |              |                          |                      | X           |     |
| DEVELOPMENT OF SUBORDINATES - Ability to help subordinate employees in improving their ability to perform assigned tasks.  |   | $\neg$                     |              |                          |                      | X           |     |
| . AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FOR EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY - Ability to ide<br>talents of all employees without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national            | ntify, utilize, and/or recommend the origin, age, or physical handicap. | highest                    |              |                          |                      |             | A . |
| REMARKS SEE ATTACHED JUSTIFICATION   | •   |                            |              |                          |                      | 1           |     |

OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING UNSATISFACTORY

(RATING OFFICIAL (Signature and date)

RUFUS A. LEWIS, U.S. Marshal 5/7/79

REVIEWING OFFICIAL (Signature and date)

None

☐ SATISFACTORY . XXX OUTSTANDING

EMPLOYEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT I have received a completed copy of this form.

SIGNATURE AND DATE